

MINUTES
WATER QUALITY FEES BOARD
August 13, 2020
Special Meeting

- I. **CALL TO ORDER** - George Woolwine, Chairman, called the August 13th Meeting of the Water Quality Fees Board (WQFB) to order at 9:02 a.m. and read the following statement:

“Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state of emergency and Governor Beshear’s Executive Orders regarding social distancing, this meeting is being held via teleconference pursuant to Senate Bill 150 (as signed by the Governor on March 30, 2020) and Attorney General Opinion 20-05, and in accordance with KRS 61.826, because it is not feasible to offer a primary physical location for the meeting.”

Water Quality Fees Board Members Present: Harry Clarke, George Ely, Donna Fogle, David Lowe, and George Woolwine.

Staff Members in Attendance: Charles Martin, P.E., Director, DWQ; Jennifer Carey, P.E., DWQ; Frank Mabson, DWQ; Denice Bullock, DWQ; and Michael Cravens, Department of Law.

Guests: Cathy DiMarco was present representing The Ellerslie at Delong Homeowners Association; Tricia Coakley, Ken Cooke, and Jerry Weisenfluh were present representing Friends of Wolf Run, Inc.; and Charles Goggin, Kris Goggin, Charles (Chartorn) Renfro, and Susan Spalding were present representing the Gardenside Neighborhood Stream Restoration Group.

- II. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** - Mr. Woolwine asked the WQFB to review the minutes of the January 9, 2020, Water Quality Fees Board Meeting.

Mr. Clarke moved to accept the minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lowe, and the motion passed 4-0 (Fogle absent).

Mr. Woolwine asked the WQFB to review the minutes of the April 9, 2020, Water Quality Fees Board Meeting.

Mr. Ely moved to accept the minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Mr. Clarke, and the motion passed 4-0 (Fogle absent).

Mr. Woolwine asked the WQFB to review the minutes of the July 9, 2020, Water Quality Fees Board Meeting.

Mr. Lowe moved to accept the minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ely, and the motion passed 4-0 (Fogle absent).

- III. **POSTPONEMENTS & WITHDRAWALS** - There were none.

- IV. **OLD BUSINESS** - There was none.

- V. **NEW BUSINESS**

A. Incentive Grants

1. FY2021 Class A Neighborhood Grant Selection

a. **SUMMARY OF APPLICATIONS**

- \$100,000 maximum grant request
- Target of \$40,000 for Feasibility Only Projects (NOTE: There was one (1) application submitted in this category.)
- Experimentation / Innovation Pool: \$300,000 (available for use in any grant class)
- Available in FY2021 Budget: \$250,000
- Available from prior FYs: \$6,582.46 (available from previous FYs’ grants that were completed under budget or were cancelled or terminated)
- Available from funds remaining after selecting the FY2021 Class B Education grantees: \$12,685.92
- Total available for FY2021 funding = \$269,268.38
- \$424,021.10 requested in the 9 applications

- b. **DIRECTOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS** - to include the score, amount requested, and stipulations for each application.

Mr. Mabson and Ms. Carey read the following Director's Recommendations:

1. **Cumberland Hill Neighborhood Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP1-2021] – Score 82.0, \$12,000.00 requested. The Grant Project Elements include addressing flooding and standing water issues in the Veterans Park floodplain through the use of innovative soil reconditioning practices; planting of native trees, shrubs, and flowers to increase soil permeability and filtration of stormwater runoff; and providing stormwater education programs and permanent signage.

Director recommended full funding in the amount of **\$12,000.00** requiring a minimum **\$3,000.00** cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; LFUCG will use the revised budget dated June 26, 2020, provided by the Applicant for inclusion in the GAA; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects.

2. **The Ellerslie at Delong Homeowners Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP2-2021] – Score 70.0, \$100,000.00 requested. The Grant Project Elements include restoring the eroding creek bed and banks, stormwater ditches, and conveyance swales and providing stormwater education programs that include a field day event and educational signage.

The Applicant and proposed project meet program eligibility requirements; however, the Director is not recommending funding this fiscal year.

If the Grant Application was approved by the Board, the following stipulations should be approved: LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall verify the need and ensure all permits are received (*i.e.*, FEMA, Army Corps, DOW, etc.) prior to any streambank stabilization work; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects.

3. **Friends of Wolf Run, Inc.** [Application number A-APP3-2021] – Score 85, \$53,066.00 requested. The Grant Project Element includes recruiting, training, supplying, and supporting volunteer stewardship groups to assist streamside property owners in managing vegetative riparian buffers along Wolf Run and its tributaries.

The project site locations / elements, with individual budgets following, include 1. Deauville Drive Greenway (\$2,678.70), 2. Elam Park Greenway (\$12,271.80), 3. Wildcat Chase Greenway (\$3,137.50), 4. Lafayette Greenway (\$7,225.60), 5. Preston's Cave Spring Park Stream Buffer Restoration and Inventory (\$21,728.00), 6. Roanoke Drive Greenway (\$2,720.25), 7. Pine Meadow Neighborhood Park and Right of Way Buffer Maintenance (\$2,391.20), 8. St. Raphael Church Tobit's Trace Garden and Spring Stream Buffer (\$4,174.80), 9. Cross Keys Park Pond Buffer (\$2,684.00), 10. Goodrich Drive Greenway (\$4,907.15), 11. Gardenside Park (\$1,655.60), 12. Loan Oak Gardens and Clays Mill Greenway (\$3,031.20), 13. Allendale Greenway Nursery Plant Dispersal (\$1,812.80), and 14. Overall Project Administration (\$5,950.00).

Director recommended partial funding in the amount of **\$29,385.00** requiring a minimum **\$7,346.25** cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; tree plantings shall be coordinated with existing utilities prior to plantings, and if possible, trees shall not be planted within 10' of an existing utility; encroachment agreements shall be obtained when working within any private utility areas; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the

Applicant; Applicant shall verify the need and ensure all permits, are received (*i.e.*, FEMA, Army Corp, DOW, etc.) prior to any streambank stabilization work; the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects; activities proposed for project site #6 (*i.e.*, Roanoke Drive Greenway) shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of scheduled RMP construction activities; however, proposed project activities at this project site can occur upon completion of the LFUCG RMP project (to accommodate project site #6, LFUCG will provide for a 24-month grant term in the Grant Award Agreement); activities proposed for project site #11 (*i.e.*, Gardenside Park) shall be restricted to the Holly Springs side of the creek because of RMP construction activities scheduled for the north side of the creek; LFUCG is currently not providing encroachment agreements to the key steward of project site #13 (*i.e.*, Allendale Greenway Nursery Plant Dispersal); therefore, project site #13 is not eligible for funding and shall not be included in Attachment A of the Grant Award Agreement; due to scheduling conflicts with required Consent Decree construction projects, project sites #2, #4, #9, #10, #12, and #13 are not eligible for funding during this grant cycle and shall not be included in Attachment A of the Grant Award Agreement; and Organization proposes a larger cost share beyond that required by the grant program. Budget shall reflect the 30.5% cost share offered in the Application (approximately \$12,895.58).

Additional Stipulation

- A1. Since partial funding was awarded, Applicant shall provide the Division of Water Quality with a revised master budget for inclusion in Attachment A to the Grant Award Agreement listing how the approved funds and associated cost share will be allocated.

Note: Ms. Fogle arrived at this time.

4. **Griffin Gate Community Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP4-2021] – Score 65, \$10,397.60 requested. The Grant Project Elements include addressing erosion by improving grading, amending the soils, and adding plantings to mitigate the bare areas created by heavy runoff and by providing stormwater education through a “Think Tank Sundays” event on stormwater management.

The Applicant and proposed project meet program eligibility requirements; however, the Director is not recommending funding this fiscal year.

If the Grant Application was approved by the Board, the following stipulations should be approved: LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects.

5. **Hartland Homeowners Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP5-2021] – Score 77.5, \$99,915.00 requested. The Grant Project Elements include improving grading, removing invasive species, stabilizing approximately 300 LF of streambanks, and installing native vegetation to address erosion issues and potential pollutants being carried through the drainageway; and providing stormwater education through the Hartland HOA’s website and by hosting two (2) seminars.

Director recommended partial funding in the amount of \$79,240.88 requiring a minimum \$19,810.22 cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall verify the need and ensure all permits are received (*i.e.*, FEMA, Army Corp, DOW, etc.) prior to any streambank stabilization work; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and the project shall not proceed with field work until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects. Since partial funding was awarded, Applicant shall provide the Division

of Water Quality with a revised budget for inclusion in Attachment A to the Grant Award Agreement listing how the approved funds and associated cost share will be allocated.

6. **Hickman Creek Conservancy, Inc.** [Application number A-APP6-2021] – Score 38, \$36,352.50 requested. The Grant Project Element includes a feasibility study to evaluate the overall hydrology both upstream and downstream to the confluence with West Hickman to understand which BMPs might prove helpful in mitigating scouring issues affecting private properties and resulting in land loss. The project looks to gather data and develop recommendations for additional technical work and to conduct stormwater education through public outreach about BMPs and other stormwater-related topics.

Director recommended full funding in the amount of \$36,352.50 requiring a minimum \$9,088.12 cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and Organization proposes a larger cost share beyond that required by the grant program. Budget shall reflect the 20.1% cost share offered in the application (approximately \$9,170.00).

7. **Lakes Edge Condominium Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP7-2021] – Score 87, \$12,000.00 requested. The Grant Project Element includes installing a series of grass swales and pipes under two (2) access sidewalks to each condo to convey flow. Ultimately the flow will move to another grass swale before transitioning to a rip rap channel which will decrease the velocity of the runoff before it enters the lake thus mitigating a current source of erosion. The Applicant will provide stormwater education through a cleanup event and permanent signage.

Director recommended full funding in the amount of \$12,000.00 requiring a minimum \$3,000.00 cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects; and Organization proposes a larger cost share beyond that required by the grant program. Budget shall reflect the 20.2% cost share offered in the application (approximately \$3,028.34).

8. **Neighbors United for South Elkhorn Creek Inc.** [Application number A-APP8-2021] – Score 78, \$1,890.00 requested. The Grant Project Elements include holding a streamside cleanup event and providing stormwater education by repairing an existing sign and by installing new educational signage on site.

Director recommended full funding in the amount of \$1,890.00 requiring a minimum \$472.50 cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; and Organization proposes a larger cost share beyond that required by the grant program. Budget shall reflect the 28.4% cost share offered in the application (approximately \$748.00).

9. **Walnut Hill Club at Chilesburg Owners Association, Inc.** [Application number A-APP9-2021] – Score 90, \$98,400.00 requested. The Grant Project Elements include 1) mitigating drainage issues by: a) Removing the excess silt from the pipe, b) cutting back overgrown vegetation, as needed, c) installing articulated concrete block, and d) reconstructing the upper portion of the existing swale to improve flow; and 2) providing stormwater education through a neighborhood presentation of construction activities and installation of permanent signage.

Director recommended full funding in the amount of \$98,400.00 requiring a minimum \$24,600.00 cost share with stipulations that LFUCG and Applicant agree to all project elements, eligible expenses (*i.e.*, budget items), terms, and conditions in a Grant Award Agreement to be approved by the Urban County Council; Applicant shall obtain written approval / agreement prior to work being done on properties not owned by the Applicant; the project shall not proceed with fieldwork until written approval to proceed is obtained from the Grant Administrator or Director of Water Quality, because of the potential for conflict with future LFUCG projects;

and Organization proposes a larger cost share beyond that required by the grant program. Budget shall reflect the 20.3% cost share offered in the application (approximately \$25,000.00).

- c. **PUBLIC COMMENT** - Mr. Woolwine opened the floor for public comments. Guests in attendance opted to provide comment on individual applications as they were discussed.
- d. **BOARD DISCUSSION** - Mr. Woolwine opened the floor for discussion of the Class A Neighborhood Grant Applications.

1. **CUMBERLAND HILL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP1-2021]

Mr. Lowe recused himself from commenting on this item.

Board comments and questions: Mr. Ely indicated that the past sewer line work in this area has caused the soil to be compacted, resulting in the ground no longer being able to absorb and infiltrate the rain water. He asked if those issues were considered and if the proposed grant would address this problem.

Mr. Martin replied that those issues were considered; however, the staff disagrees because the park is within a floodplain area. He explained that over the last couple of years other sinkholes were revealed, but the flooding had more to do with the record rainfall in 2018 than it did from the sewer project in this area.

Mr. Clarke indicated that he had the same concerns.

Mr. Clarke moved to approve the Director's Recommendation for the Cumberland Hill Neighborhood Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Ely. The motion passed 4-0.

Aye - Clarke, Ely, Fogle, and Woolwine

Nay - None

Recused - Lowe

2. **THE ELLERSLIE AT DELONG HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP2-2021]

Mr. Woolwine noted that the Director of Water Quality is not recommending funding for this project for this fiscal year.

Public comments: Cathy DiMarco, President of the Ellerslie at Delong Homeowners Association, Inc., indicated that after a hard rain there is a serious drainage issue in this area. She requested the Board to approve partial funding to help alleviate the flooding and slow drainage behind properties and at the entrance of Ellerslie.

Board comments and questions: Mr. Woolwine thanked Ms. DiMarco for submitting the application, and explained that each application submitted is reviewed by the staff to determine the ranking of that application. Even though this application was competitive against other applications, it fell short of the funding cut off points; and unfortunately, there are more needs than available funding at this time.

Mr. Woolwine suggested that Ms. DiMarco reapply and speak with the staff as to how they can gain points for the next cycle of grants. Ms. DiMarco thanked the Board for their time and indicated that they will resubmit in 2022.

Staff comments: Mr. Martin said that the mentioning of the entrance problem piqued his interest and asked if Ms. DiMarco could leave her information with staff so that he could contact her in the future. He said that the flooding at the entrance could be from the subdivision being developed and the maintenance responsibility not being taken care of by the developer.

Ms. DiMarco agreed and thanked the staff. Ms. Carey replied that she will provide her contact information to Mr. Martin.

Mr. Ely moved to accept the Director's Recommendation for The Ellerslie at Delong Homeowners Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Clarke. The motion passed unanimously.

3. **FRIENDS OF WOLF RUN, INC.** [Application number A-APP3-2021]

Mr. Lowe recused himself from commenting on this item.

Staff comments: Ms. Carey indicated that one of the stipulations was inadvertently left off the list and requested for the Board to also consider adding it as part of their action on this request.

Mr. Mabson said that since the recommendation is a partial grant the following recommendation is typical.

A1. Since partial funding was awarded, Applicant shall provide the Division of Water Quality with a revised master budget for inclusion in Attachment A to the Grant Award Agreement listing how the approved funds and associated cost share will be allocated.

Public comments: Ken Cooke indicated his appreciation to the Water Quality Fees Board and said that the awarded funding has been used in the Wolf Run watershed by multiple parties over the last 10 years.

Mr. Cooke directed the Board's attention to the Wolf Run Stream Buffer Stewardship Project Locations (Page 9 of the application) and asked for a brief clarification of Stipulation #9 listed on the Grant Determination Form.

Mr. Mabson said that Stipulation #9 reads, "Due to scheduling conflicts with required Consent Decree construction projects, project sites #2, #4, #9, #10, #12, and #13 are not eligible for funding during this grant cycle and shall not be included in Attachment A of the Grant Award Agreement."

Mr. Cooke said that project site #2 is a water quality greenway directly behind Palmar Tire at the end of Elam Park. He indicated that Ms. Tricia Coakley, who is the key steward for that project would like to comment on this particular site.

Ms. Coakley explained that they were able to recruit neighborhood buy in with prior grant funding, and they are afraid without this funding, they would lose steam that has been generated by those sponsors.

Mr. Cooke said that there is a roundabout area outside the corridor that would benefit from stormwater amenities and beautification of the area. On a closer look of the RMP map, the line does go through the water quality properties at the end of Elm Park.

Mr. Cooke asked about the RMP conflict with site #4, which is Lafayette Parkway Greenway. Ms. Carey replied that of the proposed sites, five are in conflict with Wolf Run Main Trunks F and G. She explained that the design for those projects have not started and the alignment from Allendale Drive to Goodrich Avenue is unknown at this time. Without knowing the alignment of the trunk line, it makes it hard to know if these will truly be in conflict. To be the best stewards of LFUCG funds, the staff felt that deferring these projects to a future grant year would be appropriate.

Mr. Cooke said that he would communicate that information to the Picadome Neighborhood Association.

Mr. Cooke said that site #9 is Cross Keys Park and asked if there is an RMP Plan for this area. Ms. Carey replied affirmatively, and said that the Parker Mills Trunk should be completed by December 31, 2023.

Mr. Cooke said that site #10 is in the WGPL Neighborhood, which recently experienced construction activities for a stormwater project and Sump Pump Redirect Program activities. He is concerned that the residents will be upset that another project will be destroying their area. He commented that he would communicate that information to the residents. Mr. Martin replied that the residents were aware of the future project.

Mr. Cooke said that there was a concern that an encroachment agreement on Allendale Drive had not been issued; however, Keith Lovan, Division of Engineering, did issue the encroachment agreement, as FOWR had worked there since 2010. He suggested that he and the staff communicate with the Division of Engineering to see if they have the agreement in their archives. He explained that the encroachment agreement was to propagate plants to distribute to other projects, and that the grant would help to relocate the larger, more valuable plant material from the area.

Ms. Carey said that most of the encroachments agreements have been transferred to Environmental Services, and the staff is in the process of reviewing those agreements with the intent to reissue the updated agreements in October. She then said that Allendale Drive is on the list to be reviewed by staff.

Mr. Cooke indicated that he understood the process, but he thought there was a concern that there was no encroachment agreement for Allendale Drive. Ms. Carey replied negatively.

Mr. Mabson said that stipulation #8 reads "LFUCG is currently not providing encroachment agreements to the key steward of project site #13 (*i.e.*, Allendale Greenway Nursery Plant Dispersal)." He said that encroachment agreements will not be issued to this particular steward.

Mr. Cooke said that this is an active distribution site and there is approximately \$40,000.00 worth of plant material at this location; however, he understood that no FY2021 funds would be recommended for this site at this time.

Mr. Cooke said that the key steward for the Gardenside Neighborhood Association is present to speak on this Application.

Ms. Susan Spalding said that the Gardenside Park has been approved for the areas that are not in conflict with the sewer project.

Mr. Cooke confirmed that the grant will be limiting the work to only the left descending bank where there is no conflict. Ms. Carey replied affirmatively.

Mr. Cooke said that he is agreeable as long as Ms. Spalding is agreeable. Ms. Spalding indicated that she was agreeable.

Mr. Cooke requested the cost share amount be adjusted to reflect the recommended sites only. Ms. Carey said that she was also concerned about the cost share issue and that staff did recalculate the cost share based on the project locations eligible for FY2021 funding, which resulted in a higher cost share percentage as compared to the cost share percentage shown in the Application.

Mr. Cooke said that FOWR had been working with Kentucky Utilities and their program Right Tree in the Right Place regarding what species of trees are more appropriate in the rights-of-way. He explained that up until the conflict with MetroNet they believed they were following the correct guidelines. He understands the need for all utility companies needing access, but they believed they were doing the right thing as far as planting the correct trees. He asked what would be the best forum to discuss this issue.

Ms. Carey said that the best solution would be to work with the Grant Manager. Mr. Cooke replied that they will take it under advisement this round. Ms. Carey said that this is something that staff could discuss to see if there are better parameters. Mr. Cooke replied that he would suggest Kentucky Utilities program Right Tree in the Right Place.

Mr. Cooke thanked the Water Quality Fees Board for its time and consideration on this Application.

Mr. Martin said that staff does not view these sites as being eliminated, but rather deferred to a later time. He then said that the people in this area are valued and the staff does not want to discount them at all. He added a lot of the problems are bacteria or erosion and everything else falls in behind those issues. He suggested letting

the staff address the bacteria issue first then the stream restoration can be followed up on. He said that for the record, again, this is a deferral and not necessarily an elimination of the project locations.

Mr. Martin then said that the staging areas are unknown and getting from Harrodsburg Road over to Goodrich Avenue will be a real challenge due to the densely populated areas. They will try to avoid using LFUCG properties around South Bend Drive and Lafayette Parkway; however, to balance out the stored material, they may have to bore under Harrodsburg Road, Clays Mill Road, and possibly Southland Drive just to access Goodrich Avenue.

Ms. Carey asked if iPhone user named Charles could state their name. Ms. Spalding introduced Mr. Charles Renfro (Chartorn), Mr. Kris Goggin, and Mr. Charles Goggin, and indicated that they are representing the Gardenside Neighborhood Stream Restoration Group.

Mr. Clarke thanked the Friends of Wolf Run for the work that they have done in this area over the years.

Mr. Clarke moved to approve the Director's Recommendations for Friends of Wolf Run, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Ely. The motion passed 4-0.

Aye - Clarke, Ely, Fogle, and Woolwine

Nay - None

Recused - Lowe

4. **GRIFFIN GATE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP4-2021]

Mr. Woolwine noted that the Director of Water Quality is not recommending funding for this project for this fiscal year.

Board comments and questions: There were none.

Mr. Ely moved to accept the Director's Recommendations for the Griffin Gate Community Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Lowe. The motion passed unanimously.

5. **HARTLAND HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP5-2021]

Mr. Woolwine recused himself from commenting on this item.

Board comments and questions: There were none.

Mr. Lowe moved to approve the partial funding for Hartland Homeowners Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Ely. The motion passed 4-0.

Aye - Clarke, Ely, Fogle, and Lowe

Nay - None

Recused - Woolwine

6. **HICKMAN CREEK CONSERVANCY, INC.** [Application number A-APP6-2021]

Board comments and questions: Mr. Woolwine referred to Grant Project Element 1, and asked for clarification as to whether or not the confluence with West Hickman Creek flows to the Kentucky River.

Ms. Carey explained that this tributary flows through the Lansdowne area and Merrick Park joining the main stem of West Hickman Creek just upstream of New Circle Road.

Mr. Ely moved to accept the Director's Recommendations for the Hickman Creek Conservancy, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Clarke. The motion passed unanimously.

7. **LAKES EDGE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP7-2021]

Board comments and questions: Mr. Clarke said that the budget shows \$125 per hour for Grant Management. Ms. Carey replied that staff is not surprised by the hourly cost.

Mr. Clarke asked if staff is comfortable with that amount showing on the budget. Ms. Carey replied affirmatively, and said that more than likely the same person is providing more than one task item so it should have read Project Management, not Grant Management.

Mr. Mabson said that typically, if that was consistent with the rate based on the tenure for that employee, then staff does allow the applicant to continue using that rate versus using the Bureau of Labor statistics average.

Mr. Ely moved to accept the Director's Recommendations for the Lakes Edge Condominium Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Lowe. The motion passed unanimously.

8. **NEIGHBORS UNITED FOR SOUTH ELKHORN CREEK INC.** [Application number A-APP8-2021]

Mr. Lowe recused himself from commenting on this item.

Board comments and questions: There were none.

Mr. Clarke moved to accept the Director's Recommendations for the Neighbors United for South Elkhorn Creek Inc.; seconded by Mr. Ely. The motion passed 4-0.

Aye - Clarke, Ely, Fogle, and Woolwine

Nay - None

Recused - Lowe

9. **WALNUT HILL CLUB AT CHILESBURG OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.** [Application number A-APP9-2021]

Board comments and questions: There were none.

Mr. Lowe moved to approve full funding for Walnut Hill Club at Chilesburg Owners Association, Inc.; seconded by Mr. Clarke. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Incentive Grant Status Update - Mr. Mabson and Ms. Carey briefly provided an update on the Incentive Grants between FY2016 to FY2020; commenting on a few select projects.

VI. **OTHER MATTERS** - The next meeting will be Thursday, October 8, 2020.

Mr. Woolwine asked if there were any other matters to address. There were none.

VII. **ADJOURNMENT** - The meeting was adjourned at 10:21 a.m.