

A. Bledsoe, Chair
 C. Ellinger, Vice Chair
 S. Kay
 R. Moloney
 J. Brown
 J. McCurn
 S. Lamb
 P. Worley
 F. Brown
 K. Plomin



REVISED AGENDA

Budget, Finance & Economic Development Committee

September 20, 2022

1:00 P.M.

I. Approval of August 30, 2022, Committee Summary	(2-6)
II. Monthly Financial Update – August 2022 (For Information Only)	(7-20)
III. JOBS Fund Update (Bledsoe/Atkins/Bencz)	(21-26)
IV. Economic Development Grants Update (Bledsoe/Atkins/Dickinson)	(27-32)
V. Budget Amendment Revisions (Bledsoe/Lueker)	(33-50)
VI. Items Referred to Committee	(50-52)

“Budget, finance and economic development committee, to which shall be referred matters relating to the department of finance and its divisions, and any related partner agencies, including capital projects, the urban county courts and constitutional officers, fiscal operations of the government, revenues and expenditures of the government, and organization changes which affect the fiscal operations of the government (consideration limited to operational aspects only) and to which shall be referred matters relating to economic development and related partner agencies. Additionally, this committee shall review the final audit report and management letter of the accounting firm recommended by the mayor and selected by the council to conduct the annual financial audit of the urban county government and shall report its findings concerning the same to the mayor and council for appropriate action.” – Council Rules & Procedures, Section 2.102 (4), as adopted by Urban County Council November 1, 2018.

2022 Meeting Schedule

October 25
 November 29



Budget, Finance & Economic Development Committee

August 30, 2022

Summary and Motions

Committee chair, Council Member Amanda Bledsoe, called the meeting to order at 1:01 p.m. Committee members Vice Mayor Steve Kay and Council Members Richard Moloney, Chuck Ellinger, Josh McCurn, James Brown, Fred Brown, Preston Worley, and Kathy Plomin were present. Council Member Susan Lamb was absent.

I. Approval of February 8, 2022 Committee Summary

Motion by Ellinger to approve the February 8, 2022, Budget, Finance, and Economic Development Committee summary; seconded by Plomin. The motion passed without dissent.

II. Monthly Financial Update – July 2022

Bledsoe directed the Committee to the Monthly Financial Update in the packet for information only. There were no questions from the Committee on this item.

III. Adoption Assistance Program

Human Resources Director, Tammy Walters, presented on the enhancements and additions to the Adoption Assistance Program. Walters shared updates to be made to CAO Policy 60 to increase reimbursement benefits for adoption assistance. The FY2022 budget for this program was \$6,000 and was dispersed in \$2,000 increments. This allowed for up to three employees to access this benefit annually.

Last year, one employee was unable to access this benefit due to the budget being expended before their application submission. Walters proposed increasing the FY2023 adoption assistance annual budget to \$8,000 and increase the benefit per eligible child to \$5,000 and up to \$7,000 for children with special needs which better aligns with the state adoption benefit program.

Employees can apply for reimbursement through Human Resources within 6 months of adoption being finalized. LFUCG may allocate additional funds in the event funds are insufficient for reimbursement. There is no change to employee classification or to the adoption assistance eligible expenses. There was no action taken or questions from the Committee for this item.

IV. Price Contract Approval Process

Plomin introduced the item and the presenter, Director of Central Purchasing, Todd Slatin. Slatin explained that bids to establish price contracts are typically issued for items or repetitive services (janitorial supplies, office supplies, etc). Fixed bids are for a large ticket items or construction project and are listed as separate line items in the annual budget.

The current price contract approval process is similar to the fixed bid process. Price contract bids are entered into Legistar, placed on the docket under Resolutions, require two readings, and then a resolution is created for each bid. Central Purchasing processes 80 – 120 price contract bids annually.

The proposed process is to remove the price contract approvals from the resolution section of the docket. Instead, acceptance, approval, and establishment of each price contract will be placed under the Mayor's Report for Council approval. This would eliminate the requirement for two readings, shorten the overall bid process by one to two weeks, and does not impact the bid appeal process. Slatin shared a sample of what this would look like on a docket and a draft ordinance that would establish this alternative process for price contracts.

F. Brown asked if there will be any restrictions on dollar amounts for price contracts and if this will impact financial controls. Slatin said there is not a cap on price contracts because needs are different between divisions and that this will not impact financial controls. F. Brown also asked if Law had been involved in the process. Dave Barberie commented, stating Law has been involved and this would have been one of the items previously discussed as part of a consent agenda. Barberie added that the Law Department felt this was a very clean item to move in this direction and that if there were any issues with the price contracts it could be removed from the Mayor's Report by Council.

J. Brown asked if the disparity study was referenced and if any minority or small business contracts would be impacted. Slatin clarified that there are several small and minority owned businesses currently participating and these changes will not impact the bid process only the approval process.

A motion by Kathy Plomin to Approve Price Contract Approval Process and report out at the September 20th work session, seconded by Steve Kay, the motion passed without dissent.

V. Exactions Program Update

Michael Cravens, from the Department of Law, presented on the Exaction Program background, dissolution update, and next steps. In 1996 the Urban Services Boundary was expanded and it opened up 3 areas for development. Expansion area 1 remains 100% undeveloped and area 3 has 86% of undeveloped acres remaining. Expansion area 2 is divided into sections 2-A, 2-B, and 2-C and has 46%, 59%, and 10% of undeveloped acres remaining.

The goal of the Exactions Program is to provide equitable means to allocate a fair share of infrastructure costs (system improvements) needed to serve new growth and development in the expansion areas. Exactions must be proportional to the cost of capital facilities and assessed at the time of plat or development plan on a per-acre basis. Rates are set by the Urban County Council and updated to reflect actual project costs.

Exactable infrastructure includes: sanitary sewer capacity and transmission, collector roads, multi-neighborhood parks, non-flood plain greenways, rural open space, and stormwater management facilities. Total Exaction Program costs are estimated at \$108.75 million. Collections are calculated by plat and are paid at the time of building permit. Total collections on platted land are estimated to be \$55.82 million (51%). Total remaining exaction collections are \$54.27 million (49%).

The Expansion Area Master Plan lists three main goals: new development should be served by adequate facilities, new development should bear a proportionate share of infrastructure costs, allocation of a fair

share of the capital costs of infrastructure needed to serve new growth and development between stakeholders. In lieu of comprehensive development exactions, stakeholder infrastructure agreements could be used to accomplish these objectives.

The goal is to dissolve the exactions program by engaging with expansion area stakeholders. Cravens said they would like to move forward with the dissolution of exactions in area 1 and 3 because they are the least complex, undeveloped, and have fewer stakeholders. Expansion area 2 is more complex due to development activity.

Next steps include gathering information, negotiating with stakeholders, executing agreements with stakeholders, cleaning up zoning ordinance text amendments, cleaning up amendments to the Code of Ordinances, and implementation in administrative databases. They are in the information gathering stage of the dissolution process and have created a detailed checklist to assist with goal-setting. As an alternative cost recovery mechanism for exaction fees, tap-on fees are being considered.

A drafted timeline was shared for expansion areas 1 and 3 with intent to bring final stakeholder agreements back to Council in November or December of this year. There is also a drafted timeline for expansion area 2 but is not expected to be completed by the end of this year. The ultimate goal is to dissolve the exaction program by Fall 2023.

F. Brown asked where the money is being kept from cash collections and for future stakeholder agreements. Ashley Simpson shared that the funds are kept in a restricted account and totals just over \$10 million.

Kay asked about the \$55.82 million in program costs and if the money has been collected. Cravens said they will have to investigate and reconcile the total amount collected and credited on platted land in the coming months. Kay went on to ask if land already platted and assessed an exaction fee would be subject to these negotiations. Cravens responded affirmatively and commented that future negotiations could change plat plans.

Kay also asked if the intent of the program is to collect the \$108.75 million or to prevent General Government from paying for the development fees in these exaction areas. Cravens said that the intent is to develop these areas and to fairly allocate costs of development. By making the agreements with expansion area stakeholders, there will not be a need for the exaction program because the agreements will cover previous requirements.

J. Brown asked about the components of a stakeholder agreement and if the City will have the responsibility to attract stakeholders to the expansion area for development. Cravens said that the City has an interest in making sure these areas are developed and resources are adequately shared.

Kay asked how many potential agreements will come out of the expansion areas. Cravens believes that there would be less than a dozen stakeholder agreements that would need to be executed and approved by Council in expansion areas 1 and 3. He clarified that 51% of the expansion area has been platted, not developed in the expansion areas. Council involvement is needed for upcoming actions regarding stakeholder agreements and cleaning up the zoning ordinance text amendments and Code or Ordinances.

Bledsoe commented on the calendar and said she would like to see this completed in this calendar year. She then opened the floor to members of the audience to speak. Nick Nicholsons spoke in support of the change and made it clear that no private responsibility to develop is being shifted to the public. Darby Turner commented that stakeholders are in support of this resolution and that the exaction program was meant to be a zero-sum solution. Dick Murphy, representing the Clark Family in expansion area 2, commented that public funds were not spent to develop the exaction areas and that the program succeeded in making that possible.

No action was taken on this item.

VI. Items Referred to Committee

A motion by Steve Kay to remove adoption assistance, seconded by Chuck Ellinger II, the motion passed without dissent.

A motion by Steve Kay to remove FY21 Economic Development funding, seconded by Chuck Ellinger II, the motion passed without dissent.

VII. Adjournment

A motion by Kathy Plomin to Adjourn at 1:56pm, seconded by Chuck Ellinger II, the motion passed without dissent.

July 2022 Financial Information Provided for Summary Only

July 2022 YTD Actual Compared to Adopted Budget

<u>Revenue Category</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
<u>OLT- Employee Withholding</u>	13,130,008	14,000,000	(869,992)	-6.2%
<u>OLT - Net Profit</u>	1,442,380	750,000	692,380	92.3%
<u>Insurance</u>	1,854,383	2,550,000	(695,617)	-27.3%
<u>Franchise Fees</u>	2,376,097	2,400,000	(23,903)	-1.0%
<u>TOTALS</u>	<u>18,802,868</u>	<u>19,700,000</u>	<u>(897,132)</u>	<u>-4.6%</u>

July 2022 YTD/July 2021 YTD Current Year Compared to Prior Year

<u>Revenue Category</u>	<u>Jul-22</u>	<u>Jul-21</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
<u>OLT- Employee Withholding</u>	13,130,008	14,376,233	(1,246,225)	-8.7%
<u>OLT - Net Profit</u>	1,442,380	1,468,398	(26,018)	-1.8%
<u>Insurance</u>	1,854,383	2,450,488	(596,105)	-24.3%
<u>Franchise Fees</u>	2,376,097	2,376,097	0	0.0%
<u>TOTALS</u>	<u>18,802,868</u>	<u>20,671,216</u>	<u>(1,868,348)</u>	<u>-9.0%</u>

FY2023 – Cash Flow Variance Revenue (Actual to Budget)

<i>For the one month ended July 31, 2022</i>				
	<u>Actuals</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
<u>Revenue</u>	-	-	-	-
Payroll Withholding	13,130,008	14,000,000	(869,992)	-6.2%
Net Profit	1,442,380	750,000	692,380	92.3%
Insurance	1,854,383	2,550,000	(695,617)	-27.3%
Franchise Fees	2,376,097	2,400,000	(23,903)	-1.0%
Other Licenses & Permits	474,799	325,810	148,989	45.7%
Property Tax Accounts	43,193	167,333	(124,140)	-74.2%
Services	2,296,333	2,239,291	57,042	2.5%
Fines and Forfeitures	11,380	16,000	(4,620)	-28.9%
Intergovernmental Revenue	18,591	38,668	(20,077)	-51.9%
Property Sales	14,276	8,333	5,943	71.3%
Investment Income	18,841	8,659	10,182	117.6%
Other Financing Sources	-	40,000	(40,000)	-100.0%
Other Income	1,535,086	191,215	1,343,871	702.8%
Total Revenues	\$23,215,367	\$22,735,309	\$480,058	2.1%

FY2023 – Cash Flow Variance Expense (Actual to Budget)

<i>For the one month ended July 31, 2022</i>				
	<u>Actuals</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
<u>Expense</u>	-	-	-	-
Personnel	10,597,479	10,384,556	(212,923)	-2.1%
Operating	4,660,591	6,630,521	1,969,930	29.7%
Insurance Expense	226	226	0	0.0%
Debt Service	3,486,416	3,486,416	0	0.0%
Partner Agencies	2,186,198	2,100,254	(85,944)	-4.1%
Capital	36,136	237,746	201,610	84.8%
Total Expenses	\$20,967,046	\$22,839,719	\$1,872,673	8.2%
<u>Transfers</u>	495,385	544,044	48,659	8.9%
Change in Fund Balance	\$1,752,936	(\$648,454)	\$2,401,390	

Video recording of the meeting:

<https://lfucg.granicus.com/player/clip/5634?&redirect=true&h=f701e0f75812c1a8b5ed7faa7a519ba7>

BUDGET, FINANCE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Financial Update
September 20, 2022




LEXINGTON



2 Month Performance Review (Actuals)

August FY23	
Revenues	66,650,069
Expenses	(53,432,483)
Transfers	<u>(5,010,378)</u>
Surplus/(Deficit)	8,207,208

FY23 Two Month Actual Performance



Factors to Consider

- Variances in capital accounts should not be considered as permanent savings. Significant cash funding in these accounts will create larger than normal fluctuations. **(\$1,040,561)**
- FY23 operating expenses are 19% over FY22, but currently remain within budgeted funds. Budgets are being released quarterly for operations accounts which will help monitor and allow for adjustment throughout the year.
- Some large financial posting entries are delayed due to year end, and create large variances
 - Example: Vehicle accounts increased **\$500,000** between August and September in 2022 due to posting
- Adjustment for Ad Valorem will increase partner agencies by **\$1,008,530** and reduce **\$252,530** from budgeted fund balance.



August 2022 YTD Actual Compared to Adopted Budget

<u>Revenue Category</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
OLT- Employee Withholding	40,824,289	39,000,000	1,824,289	4.7%
OLT - Net Profit	3,913,057	1,750,000	2,163,057	123.6%
Insurance	9,491,616	8,550,000	941,616	11.0%
Franchise Fees	4,779,903	4,400,000	379,903	8.6%
TOTALS	59,008,865	53,700,000	5,308,865	9.9%



August 2022 YTD/August 2021 YTD Current Year Compared to Prior Year

<u>Revenue Category</u>	<u>Aug-22</u>	<u>Aug-21</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Var</u>
OLT- Employee Withholding	40,824,289	38,741,290	2,082,999	5.4%
OLT - Net Profit	3,913,057	2,308,084	1,604,973	69.5%
Insurance	9,491,616	9,021,541	470,075	5.2%
Franchise Fees	4,779,903	4,273,901	506,002	11.8%
TOTALS	59,008,865	54,344,816	4,664,049	8.6%



2023 Fiscal year – Cash Flow Variance Revenue (Actual to Budget)

<i>For the two months ended August 31, 2022</i>				
	Actuals	Budget	Variance	% Var
<u>Revenue</u>				
Payroll Withholding	40,824,289	39,000,000	1,824,289	4.7%
Net Profit	3,913,057	1,750,000	2,163,057	123.6%
Insurance	9,491,616	8,550,000	941,616	11.0%
Franchise Fees	4,779,903	4,400,000	379,903	8.6%
Other Licenses & Permits	834,294	651,620	182,674	28.0%
Property Tax Accounts	438,123	384,667	53,456	13.9%
Services	4,326,364	4,973,101	(646,737)	-13.0%
Fines and Forfeitures	37,325	32,000	5,325	16.6%
Intergovernmental Revenue	34,564	85,172	(50,608)	-59.4%
Property Sales	95,708	16,667	79,041	474.2%
Investment Income	30,745	17,318	13,427	77.5%
Other Financing Sources	40,000	40,000	0	0.0%
Other Income	1,804,081	415,479	1,388,602	334.2%
Total Revenues	\$66,650,069	\$60,316,024	\$6,334,045	10.5%



2023 Fiscal Year - Cash Flow Variance Revenue (CY to PY)

<i>For the two months ended August 31, 2022</i>				
	FY 2023	FY 2022	Variance	% Var
<u>Revenue</u>				
Payroll Withholding	40,824,289	38,741,290	2,082,999	5.4%
Net Profit	3,913,057	2,308,084	1,604,973	69.5%
Insurance	9,491,616	9,021,541	470,075	5.2%
Franchise Fees	4,779,903	4,273,901	506,002	11.8%
Other Licenses & Permits	834,294	502,897	331,397	65.9%
Property Tax Accounts	438,123	303,748	134,375	44.2%
Services	4,326,364	4,175,200	151,164	3.6%
Fines and Forfeitures	37,325	27,685	9,640	34.8%
Intergovernmental Revenue	34,564	84,663	(50,099)	-59.2%
Property Sales	95,708	51,072	44,636	87.4%
Investment Income	30,745	-	30,745	0.0%
Other Financing Sources	40,000	40,000	0	0.0%
Other Income	1,804,081	441,894	1,362,187	308.3%
Total Revenues	\$66,650,069	\$59,971,975	\$6,678,094	11.1%



2023 Fiscal Year – Cash Flow Variance Expense (Actual to Budget)

<i>For the two months ended August 31, 2022</i>				
	Actuals	Budget	Variance	% Var
<u>Expense</u>				
Personnel	29,422,491	30,942,942	1,520,451	4.9%
Operating	8,680,228	13,165,581	4,485,353	34.1%
Insurance Expense	1,566,053	1,481,915	(84,138)	-5.7%
Debt Service	7,862,947	7,862,947	0	0.0%
Partner Agencies	3,812,796	4,200,510	387,714	9.2%
Capital	2,087,968	3,128,529	1,040,561	33.3%
Total Expenses	\$53,432,483	\$60,782,424	\$7,349,941	12.1%
Transfers	5,010,378	5,071,544	61,166	1.2%
Change in Fund Balance	\$8,207,208	(\$5,537,944)	\$13,745,152	



2023 Fiscal Year - Cash Flow Variance Expense (CY to PY)

<i>For the two months ended August 31, 2022</i>				
	FY 2023	FY 2022	Variance	% Var
<u>Expense</u>				
Personnel	29,422,491	29,722,599	(300,108)	-1.0%
Operating	8,680,228	7,291,288	1,388,940	19.0%
Insurance Expense	1,566,053	1,263,377	302,676	24.0%
Debt Service	7,862,947	4,538,622	3,324,325	73.2%
Partner Agencies	3,812,796	3,026,661	786,135	26.0%
Capital	2,087,968	33,054	2,054,914	6,216.8%
Total Expenses	\$53,432,483	\$45,875,601	(\$7,556,882)	-16.5%
Transfers	5,010,378	6,727,714	(1,717,335)	-25.5%
Change in Fund Balance	\$8,207,208	\$7,368,660	\$838,548	

ARPA DASHBOARD



■ Obligated/Expended ■ Remaining Budget

Total ARPA Received
\$121.2 Million

Total ARPA Expected
\$121.2 Million

Total ARPA Budgeted
\$119.8 Million

Total ARPA Obligated
\$38.0 Million

Funding Overview by ARPA Expenditure Category

1. Public Health

\$ 1,325,000

- Eligible projects include services and programs to contain and mitigate COVID-19 spread and services to address behavioral healthcare needs exacerbated by the pandemic.

2. Negative Economic Impacts

\$ 28,353,108

- Eligible projects include those that address the negative impacts caused by the public health emergency including assistance to workers; small business support; speeding the recovery of tourism, travel, and hospitality sectors; and rebuilding public sector and non-profit capacity. This category also includes programs and projects that support long-term housing security and promote strong, healthy communities.

3. Economic Impact: Public Sector/Health Capacity

\$ 6,000,000

- Eligible projects include expenses for payroll, rehiring, enhanced service delivery, and administrative needs for public sector health, safety, or human services workers.

4. Premium Pay

\$12,954,007

- ARPA funding provides resources to local government to recognize the heroic contributions of essential workers. Eligible workers include a broad range of essential workers who must be physically present at their job.

5. Infrastructure

\$ -

- Eligible projects include necessary improvements in water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure

6. Revenue Replacement for General Government

\$ 63,912,536

- Local governments facing budget shortfalls are permitted to use funding to replace lost revenue for the purpose of providing governmental services. These services may include recreation, transportation, economic development, and other general government services.

7. Administration

\$ 7,256,629

- Local governments are permitted to use funding for administering ARPA funds, including costs of in-house staff or consultants to support effective oversight and ensuring compliance with legal, regulatory, and other requirements. A project contingency is also held in this Expenditure Category.



ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds Revenue and Expense Report

<i>For the period through August 31, 2022</i>				
		Actuals	Budget	Variance
Revenue				
LFUCG Allocation		\$ 121,178,058	\$ 121,178,058	\$ -
Total Revenues		\$ 121,178,058	\$ 121,178,058	\$ -

For the period through August 31, 2022			Obligated	Budget	Variance
EC 1: Public Health			\$ 710,131	\$ 1,325,000	\$ (1,118,632)
1.05	Personal Protective Equipment	Personal Protective Equipment for LFUCG	\$ 4,972	\$ 225,000	\$ (220,028)
1.11	Community Violence Interventions	Safety Net	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	\$ -
1.11	Community Violence Interventions	It Takes a Village - Mentoring Program (Personnel)	\$ 15,159	\$ 240,000	\$ (224,841)
1.12	Mental Health Services	NAMI - Mental Health Court Funding (FY22)	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000	\$ -
1.12	Mental Health Services	NAMI - Mental Health Court Funding (FY23)	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000	\$ -
1.12	Mental Health Services	NAMI - Mental Health Court Funding (FY24)	\$ -	\$ 170,000	\$ (170,000)



ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds Revenue and Expense Report

For the period through August 31, 2022

			Obligated	Budget	Variance
Expense (Continued)					
EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts			\$ 8,753,698	\$ 28,353,108	\$ (22,891,031)
2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid	Emergency Financial Assistance for Residents (FY22)	\$ 232,161	\$ 240,846	\$ (8,685)
2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid	Emergency Financial Assistance for Residents (FY23)	\$ 48,530	\$ 200,000	\$ (151,470)
2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid	Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (FY22)	\$ 199,600	\$ 200,000	\$ (400)
2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid	Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (FY23)	\$ 63,400	\$ 200,000	\$ (136,600)
2.10	Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers	Workforce Development (Other)	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ (150,000)
2.10	Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers	Summer Youth Work Readiness Program	\$ 145,575	\$ 960,000	\$ (814,425)
2.15	Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing	Shropshire Affordable Housing Project Site Improvements	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ (750,000)
2.15	Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	\$ 1,630,100	\$ 10,000,000	\$ (8,369,900)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	OHPI Homelessness Allocation (FY22)	\$ 624,836	\$ 750,000	\$ (125,164)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	OHPI Homelessness Allocation (FY23)	\$ 590,922	\$ 750,000	\$ (159,078)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	OHPI Homelessness Allocation (FY24)	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ (750,000)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	Homelessness Contracts via Department of Housing and Community Development RFP	\$ 711,391	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (3,288,609)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	Homelessness Contracts - Non-Shelter Eligible Families/Individuals	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ (1,000,000)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	COVID-19 Alternate Shelter for Winter Warming	\$ 1,315,762	\$ 1,315,762	\$ (0)
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Per	Domestic Violence Sheltering: Greenhouse 17	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ -
2.22	Strong Healthy Communities: Neighborhood Features the	Village Branch Library Construction	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ (1,000,000)
2.22	Strong Healthy Communities: Neighborhood Features the	Black and Williams Center Improvements - Gymnasium	\$ 187,594	\$ 1,040,000	\$ (852,406)
2.22	Strong Healthy Communities: Neighborhood Features the	BCTC Dental Hygiene Clinic	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ (2,000,000)
2.30	Technical Assistance, Counseling, or Business Planning	Minority Business Accelerator - Commerce Lex	\$ 948,326	\$ 991,000	\$ (42,674)
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations	Explorium of Lexington - Children's Museum Assistance	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ -
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations	LexArts Nonprofit Services Contract (FY22)	\$ 325,000	\$ 325,000	\$ -
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations	Lyric Theater Assistance	\$ 127,500	\$ 127,500	\$ -
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations	Radio Lex	\$ 78,000	\$ 78,000	\$ -
2.35	Aid to Tourism, Travel, or Hospitality	Visit LEX/Hospitality Industry Recovery	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -



ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds Revenue and Expense Report

For the period through August 31, 2022			Obligated	Budget	Variance
Expense (Continued)					
EC 3: Public Health - Negative Economic Impact: Public Sector Capacity			\$ -	\$ 6,000,000	\$ (6,000,000)
3.01	Public Sector Workforce	Social Services Department Personnel Expenses	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000	\$ (6,000,000)
EC 4: Premium Pay			\$ 12,954,007	\$ 12,954,007	\$ (0)
4.01	Premium Pay	Premium Pay for High Exposure LFUCG Staff	\$ 12,592,084	\$ 12,592,084	\$ (0)
4.01	Premium Pay	Premium Pay for Fayette County Sheriff's Office	\$ 361,923	\$ 361,923	\$ 0
EC 5: Infrastructure			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Public Sector Workforce expenses will be expensed to the ARPA fund at the close of FY23.

Expenditures represent a point-in-time and are subject to change as result of FY2022 closeout processes.



ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds Revenue and Expense Report

For the period through August 31, 2022			Obligated	Budget	Variance
Expense (Continued)					
EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services			\$ 15,402,556	\$ 63,912,536	\$ (56,302,874)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Economic Development Grants to Service Partners	\$ 298,745	\$ 298,745	\$ (0)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Berry Hill Park - Basketball Court	\$ 19,599	\$ 175,000	\$ (155,401)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Buckhorn Park - Phase II Improvements	\$ 44,999	\$ 45,000	\$ (1)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Dogwood Park - Basketball Court	\$ 11,770	\$ 95,000	\$ (83,230)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Gardenside Park - Playground	\$ 147,927	\$ 150,000	\$ (2,073)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Ecton Park Restrooms and Concessions	\$ 457,400	\$ 457,400	\$ -
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Lakeside Irrigation Replacement	\$ 1,420,408	\$ 1,421,000	\$ (592)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Masterson Station Park - Playground	\$ 147,478	\$ 150,000	\$ (2,522)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Meadowthorpe Park - Roof Repair	\$ 78,585	\$ 78,585	\$ 0
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Raven Run Park - Prather House Roof Repair	\$ 150,096	\$ 150,096	\$ -
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Shilito Park - Access Imprvmnt Parking Lot Construction	\$ 43,720	\$ 400,000	\$ (356,280)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Southland Park - Access Imprvmnt Parking Repairs	\$ 14,069	\$ 100,000	\$ (85,931)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Nbhood Rec Imprvmnts - Woodland Park - Restroom Facilities	\$ 523,913	\$ 529,259	\$ (5,346)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space for Disadvantaged Pop - ADA Imprvmnts at Parks for Inclusivity	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ (125,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Cardinal Run North Park Development	\$ 700,000	\$ 10,100,000	\$ (9,400,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - Douglass Park - Pool Imprvmnts	\$ 5,800	\$ 175,000	\$ (169,200)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - Mary Todd Park - Basketball Court	\$ 98,129	\$ 118,000	\$ (19,871)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - Northeastern Park - Playground	\$ 191,111	\$ 250,000	\$ (58,889)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - Phoenix Park - Inclusive Use and Development Study	\$ 148,800	\$ 150,000	\$ (1,200)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - Pine Meadows Park - Playground and Park Imprvmnts	\$ 153,404	\$ 153,735	\$ (331)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Access to Quality Green Space in QCT - River Hill Park - Sports Courts	\$ 11,146	\$ 165,000	\$ (153,854)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	General Neighborhood Parks and Recreation Improvements	\$ 620,523	\$ 3,960,549	\$ (3,340,026)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Parks Master Plan - QCT Areas	\$ 232,211	\$ 3,345,000	\$ (3,112,789)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Parks Master Plan - Maintenance	\$ 506,876	\$ 1,000,000	\$ (493,124)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Parks Master Plan - Aquatics	\$ 440,937	\$ 1,870,000	\$ (1,429,063)



ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds Revenue and Expense Report

For the period through August 31, 2022

			Obligated	Budget	Variance
Expense (Continued)					
EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services (Continued)					
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Pam Miller Downtown Arts Center Renovation	\$ 155,030	\$ 2,500,000	\$ (2,344,970)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Government Employee Pay Supplements	\$ 4,436,928	\$ 4,436,928	\$ 0
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Critical Government Needs - Broadband Study with Scott County	\$ 25,865	\$ 30,000	\$ (4,135)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Coldstream Industrial Park Campus Infrastructure	\$ -	\$ 9,500,000	\$ (9,500,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Bike/Ped Planning and Design	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Bike/Ped - Brighton	\$ -	\$ 132,500	\$ (132,500)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Bike/Ped - Harrodsburg	\$ -	\$ 1,040,000	\$ (1,040,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Bike/Ped - Town Branch Trail (Manchester)	\$ 67,500	\$ 67,500	\$ -
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Fire SCBA	\$ 2,828,413	\$ 3,100,000	\$ (271,587)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Public Safety Fleet	\$ 1,416,349	\$ 1,500,000	\$ (83,651)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income (FY22)	\$ 4,825	\$ 200,000	\$ (195,175)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income (FY23)	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ (200,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income (FY24)	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ (200,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Workforce Development Grants to Service Partners (FY22)	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ (400,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Workforce Development Grants to Service Partners (FY23)	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ (400,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	LexArts (FY24)	\$ -	\$ 325,000	\$ (325,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Non-Profit Capital Grants	\$ -	\$ 6,418,239	\$ (6,418,239)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Farmers Market Construction (Match)	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (4,000,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Housing Stabilization - Salvation Army Pledge	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ (2,000,000)
6.01	Provision of Government Services	Housing Stabilization - Hope Center Roof	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ (2,000,000)
EC 7: Administrative			\$ 203,565	\$ 7,256,629	\$ (7,053,064)
7.01	Administrative Expenses	ARPA Administrative Services	\$ 203,565	\$ 5,000,000	\$ (4,796,435)
7.01	Administrative Expenses	Hold for Construction Contingency	\$ -	\$ 2,256,629	\$ (2,256,629)
Total Expenses			\$ 38,023,956	\$ 119,801,280	\$ (93,366,616)
TOTAL - ARPA SLFRF			\$ 83,154,102	\$ 1,376,778	\$ 93,366,616

JOBS FUND UPDATE

Budget, Finance, and Economic Development Committee

September 20, 2022



LEXINGTON

Jobs Fund

- What it is:
 - An incentive program to provide funding to businesses who commit to creating and retaining jobs in Lexington
 - LFUCG established the Jobs Fund in December 2013 with Ordinance No. 153-2013
- Administered by the Economic Development Investment Board



Jobs Fund

- Available incentives:
 - Up to \$100,000 for a forgivable loan agreement
 - Up to \$250,000 for a loan agreement
 - Up to \$50,000 for grants (EDIB is recommending removal)

- All have term lengths of up to 10 years



Jobs Fund

- Eligibility—A company must:
 - Be located in or moving to Lexington
 - Be current with all federal, state, and local taxes
 - Create jobs with average wages greater than or equal to 125% of the county median (\$23.50 as of January 2022)
 - This number is adjusted annually by the board and is currently **\$24.00 per hour**
 - Establish and meet job creation requirements
 - Produce a tradeable good or provide a tradeable service
 - Agrees to a pre-determined compliance reporting period – typically 10 years



Jobs Fund

- Current Report of Jobs Fund:
 - **29 awards, 25 active**
 - Current incentives awarded total **\$3.63 million**
 - **281** jobs committed
 - New payroll committed: **\$15.3 million**
 - **4.21x** incentives disbursed
 - Cost per job created: **\$12,918**
 - Repaid Principal is **\$514,019** plus Interest of **\$91,006**

Questions?



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANT

Budget, Finance & Economic Development Committee

September 20, 2022



Economic Development Grant Background

- ❑ \$200,000 was authorized for Fiscal Year 2022.
- ❑ Five (5) Workforce Partners received funding.
- ❑ \$400,000 in ARPA funds was authorized for Fiscal Year 2023 & 2024.
- ❑ Economic Development Grant is now in its 6th year of funding.

2022 Economic Development Grant Outcomes

❑ **Job Placement & Licensure Outcomes:**

- 346 job placements
- 10 Commercial Driver's License
- Average wage - \$12.88
- Average hours – 39.2
- Top 3 Industry Sectors Represented=
 - ✓ 60% in **Manufacturing** (216 job placements)
 - ✓ 15% in **Skilled Trades** (53 job placements)
 - ✓ 10% in **Hospitality** (30 job placements)
 - ✓ Other Sectors Represented included: *Retail, Business & Professional, Healthcare & Transportation*

5- Year Economic Development Grant Analysis

(July 2017 – June 2022)

Year	Grant Amount Budgeted	Number Licensed	Number Placed	Average Wage	# of Agencies Receiving Awards
2017	\$150,000		105	\$11.29	10
2018	\$300,000		182	\$13.34	11
2019	\$300,000		120	\$15.59	7
2020	\$200,000		300	\$11.78	5
2021	\$200,000	10	347	\$12.88	5
Total	\$1,150,000	10	1,054	\$12.98	* 14 Unique Agencies

ARPA Grant Awards (2022-2024)

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Programming</u>
Awesome Inc	Salesforce Administrator Certification
Bluegrass Community and Technical College (BCTC)	Enhanced Operator Certificate Program (EOCP)
Building Institute of Central Kentucky	Provides classroom, lab training, and licensure for HVAC, plumbing, and electric
Community Action Council	Provides Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Training
Food Chain	Food Sector Job Training Program
Jubilee Jobs	Provides a 1-week inhouse and outreach 7-step job readiness, job placement, and retention support service.
Lexington Rescue Mission	Provides 14-week (2 hrs./wk.) Jobs for Life program that provides soft skills training, job search, resume writing, and job placement.
Opportunity for Work & Learning (OWL)	Forklift Certification, Manufacturing Work Experience, Skilled Trade Academy Certificate, Customer Service Representative Certification, Kentucky Essential Skills Certificate, resume writing, job search, application, interviewing, placement, and retention.



Questions?

BUDGET AMENDMENT UPDATES

Budget, Finance & Economic Development Committee

September 20, 2022



LEXINGTON



Overview

- Budget Amendments v. Budget Adjustments
- Why Updates are Needed
- Budget Process Work Group Recommendations
- Grant Adjustments Pilot Report
- Current Budget Amendment Ordinance
- Proposed Budget Amendment Updates



Budget Amendments v. Budget Adjustments

- Currently budget changes are processed in two different ways:
 - Budget amendments you see at Work Session and approve at Council Meetings
 - Budget adjustments which do not require Council approval
 - Movements within a division for certain personnel or operating accounts
- Budget adjustments were originally allowed in the Charter as the Mayor's Transfer Authority but the name and qualifying changes have been updated over the years



Why Updates are Needed?

- Continue to implement some changes recommended by the Budget Process Work Group
- Consolidate budget language into one ordinance
- Streamline process to better align with Council schedules
- Provide efficiencies and eliminate duplications
- Continue to provide Council with transparency



Budget Process Work Group Recommendations



Budget Process Work Group Recommendations

-  1. Revised Budget Calendar
-  2. Mayor's Proposed Budget Summary Document
-  3. A new public facing Budget in Brief
-  4. Revised budget process to include a joint planning meeting, preliminary revenue presentation and upfront sharing of budget requests with Council
-  5. Proposed minor revisions to ordinances related to Budget Amendments



Budget Process Work Group Recommendations #5 - BAs

1. Amend the 2005 Budget Ordinance to expand the qualifications for a budget adjustment.
-  2. Amend the 1979 Grant Ordinance to allow any movement within the grant budget regardless of expense category.
-  3. Budget for recurring event reimbursements as revenue when budget is adopted.



Budget Process Work Group Recommendations – Grant Adjustments



#2 Grant Adjustments Pilot – Report Out

- The Grant Adjustment pilot allows reallocation with a grant budget as long as the changes do not involve revenue accounts.

- During the FY 22 Pilot time period –
 - 47 Grant Adjustments were processed
 - 25 of those would have required Council approval prior to the pilot program



Budget Process Work Group Recommendations – Budget Amendments



Current v. Proposed

Current Budget Adjustment	Proposed Budget Adjustment
Personnel accounts for temporary, seasonal, part time, and overtime	Personnel accounts for temporary, seasonal, part time, and overtime, with the associated tax and benefit accounts
	Personnel accounts related to Council approved personnel actions
	Transfer of funds from Contingency Personnel accounts to division budgets
Account Codes budgeted by single division may be adjusted by the managing division	Utilities, Vehicle Maintenance/Fuel, General Insurance, and Grant Match may be moved across divisions by the managing division
Operating accounts within a division	Operating accounts within a division or department
	Capital accounts within the same capital account series
	Neighborhood Development for Council approved allocations

BUDGET AMENDMENT REQUEST LIST					
JOURNAL	136456-57	DIVISION	Fire and Emergency Services	Fund Name Fund Impact	General Fund 600.00 600.00CR .00
To provide funds for Conference and Training by recognizing revenue received as a partial scholarship to off-set travel expenses associated with attending the Fire Chief's Summit.					
JOURNAL	136672	DIVISION	Office of Chief Information Officer	Fund Name Fund Impact	General Fund 55,000.00 .00CR 55,000.00
To provide funds for emergency procurement of enhanced cybersecurity software licenses.					
JOURNAL	136684	DIVISION	Office of Chief Information Officer	Fund Name Fund Impact	General Fund 155,631.87 155,631.87CR .00
To reallocate funding to professional services from vacated position of Information Security Engineer Sr in the Department of Information Technology.					
JOURNAL	136687	DIVISION	Computer Services/ Office of CIO	Fund Name Fund Impact	General Fund 136,153.06 136,153.06CR .00
To transfer the General Fund budget for three positions of GIS Analyst and one position of GIS Developer from the Division of Computer Services to the Division of Enterprise Solutions to match position transfers.					
JOURNAL	136692	DIVISION	Youth Services/ Parks and Recreation	Fund Name Fund Impact	General Fund 100,129.76 100,129.76CR .00
To transfer budget for various positions in the Division of Youth Services to the Division of Parks and Recreation to streamline the daily operational programming for the community centers.					
JOURNAL	136614	DIVISION	Finance	Fund Name Fund Impact	2023 Bond Projects 1,361.99 .00CR 1,361.99
To budget additional funds for Cost of Issuance for the GO 2022B bond issue in order to accurately record payment of issuance fees. Revenues to cover this expense are already included in the bond budget.					
JOURNAL	136678-79	DIVISION	Grants and Special Programs	Fund Name Fund Impact	US Dept of Agriculture 175,000.00 175,000.00CR .00
To establish grant budget for Child Care Food FY 2023.					



Examples of Proposed Changes

Additional Examples that would meet new requirement for an adjustment:

- Reallocating within the same capital series
- Moving Grant Match where needed
- Moving budgeted contingency funds to where actuals were charged

JOURNAL	133401	DIVISION	Facilities and Fleet	Fund Name	Sanitary Sewer Fund
				Fund Impact	42,818.44
					42,818.44 CR
					.00

To reallocate vehicle accounts to accurately reflect the types of vehicles purchased in the Sanitary Sewer Fund.

JOURNAL	135625	DIVISION	Grants and Special Programs	Fund Name	General Fund
				Fund Impact	85,794.00
					85,794.00CR
					.00

To move grant match from Planning to Environmental Services for SLX - FY 2023.

JOURNAL	134987	DIVISION	Various	Fund Name	General Fund
				Fund Impact	2,137,943.66
					2,137,943.66CR
					.00

To provide funds for sick pay, lump sum termination, and retroactive pay raises by reducing funds for General Fund Personnel Contingency for this purpose.



Fiscal Year Transition Current v. Proposed

Current Policy	Proposed Policy
A certified emergency exists. The certification shall set out the reason(s) an emergency situation exists;	A certified emergency exists. The certification shall set out the reason(s) an emergency situation exists;
The request involves personnel actions which do not affect the fund balance	
The request involves a reappropriation of funds.	The request involves a reappropriation of funds.
	The budget amendment request involves a fund in which unallocated budget funds exist

Questions?



LEXINGTON

ORDINANCE NO. _____ - 2022

AN ORDINANCE CREATING ARTICLE V OF CHAPTER 7 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, SECTIONS 7-44 TO 7-48, PERTAINING TO BUDGET AMENDMENTS, EXCEPTIONS, REPORTING, FISCAL YEAR TRANSITION, AND GRANTS; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 97-2021 PERTAINING TO GRANT BUDGET TRANSFERS; AND REPEALING PART 6. BUDGET, SECTION 4.601. BUDGET AMENDMENTS OF APPENDIX A – RULES AND PROCEDURES OF THE LEXINGTON-FAYETTE URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL; ALL EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE OF COUNCIL.

WHEREAS, it is more efficient and timely to have the option of using an approval process for certain budgeting actions that does not require an ordinance for each such action; and

WHEREAS, the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government has previously adopted legislation in this area that should be unified in the Code of Ordinances of the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE LEXINGTON-FAYETTE URBAN COUNTY GOVERNMENT:

Section 1 – That the Code of Ordinances, Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government be and hereby is amended to add Article V to Chapter 7, with the respective sections to be numbered and to read as follows:

Chapter 7, Article V. Budget Amendments

Sec. 7-44. Ordinance Required. All budget amendments between divisions, crossing budget adoption control levels, effecting transfers, capital, revenue or fund balance require the approval of an ordinance by the Urban County Council unless otherwise specified in this chapter.

Sec. 7-45. Exceptions. The following types of amendments may be processed using a budget adjustment process administered by the Division of Budgeting:

- (a) Personnel accounts for temporary, seasonal, part time, and overtime, with the associated tax and benefit accounts and those related to Council approved personnel actions;
- (b) Transfer of funds from Contingency Personnel accounts to division budgets;
- (c) Utilities and Vehicle Maintenance/Fuel accounts within a fund may be adjusted by the Division of Facilities and Fleet Management;
- (d) General Insurance accounts within a fund may be adjusted by the Division of Budgeting;
- (e) Grant Match accounts within a fund may be adjusted by the Division of Grants and Special Programs;
- (f) Neighborhood Development for Council approved allocations to any department or division;
- (g) Capital accounts within the same capital account series; and
- (h) Operating accounts within a division or department.

Sec. 7-46. Report required. The Division of Budgeting will provide a written weekly report on all budget adjustments to the Office of the Urban County Council Office for review.

Sec. 7-47. Fiscal Year Transition. The Urban County Council shall not approve any budget amendment which reduces the budgeted fund balance in the current fiscal year before the presentation of the prior year's final audit report unless at least one of the following conditions is met:

- (a) A certification is given by the Chief Administrative Officer that an emergency exists. The certification shall set out the reason(s) an emergency situation exists;
- (b) The budget amendment request involves a fund in which unallocated budget funds exist; or
- (c) The budget amendment request involves a re-appropriation of funds.

Any budget amendment request which does not meet the criteria set out above and which will result in a reduction of the budgeted fund balance shall be presented to Council with additional justification for the request.

Sec. 7-48. Grants. The Mayor may, from time to time, be authorized by ordinance or resolution to transfer the unencumbered balance of any account within the same grant budget, so long as the scope and intent of the grant is not significantly changed. Weekly summaries of grant budget transfers made pursuant to any such ordinance or resolution

will be furnished the Office of the Council of the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government.

Section 2 – That Ordinance No. 97-2021 pertaining to grant budget transfers be and hereby is repealed in its entirety.

Section 3 – That Part 6. Budget, Section 4.601. Budget Amendments of Appendix A – Rules and Procedures of the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Council be and hereby is repealed in its entirety.

Section 4 – That to the extent any ordinance or resolution is in conflict with the provision of this Ordinance, the provisions of this ordinance shall take precedence.

Section 5 – That if any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this Ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unlawful by a court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof.

Section 6 - That this Ordinance shall become effective on the date of its passage.

PASSED URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL:

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CLERK OF URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL

00765374

ITEMS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

Budget, Finance & Economic Development Committee

Referral Item	Referred By	Date Referred	Last Heard	Status	File ID
Creation of Lexington Film Commission	Bledsoe	August 30, 2016		(pending review)	
Efforts to Reduce Barriers for Second-Chance and Reentry Employees	Bledsoe	January 29, 2019	February 26, 2019	(pending review)	0217-19
Review of the Exaction Program	Ellinger	August 28, 2018	August 30, 2022	On current agenda	0357-20
Consideration of 2-year budget cycle	Bledsoe	April 30, 2019		(pending review)	
Review of the Current Civil Service Pay Scale; Exploration of Annual Compensation Increases for City Employees	J. Brown	August 13, 2019	October 28, 2021	(pending review)	0359-20
Revenue Sources	Kay	August 11, 2020	December 1, 2020	(pending review)	1184-20
Franchise Fees and Street Light Funds	McCurn	August 13, 2019		(pending review)	
Review of Current Tax District Change Policy	Plomin	March 13, 2018 / March 9, 2021			
Cryptocurrencies	Bledsoe	May 4, 2021		(pending review)	
Downtown Projects Update	Bledsoe	August 27, 2019	June 29, 2021		0677-21
Local Small Business Economic Recovery Program 2021	Worley / FY21 Budget COW	June 2, 2020	June 29, 2021		0680-21
Attracting Remote Workers to Relocate to Lexington-Fayette County	Kloiber	August 24, 2021	September 28, 2021		0975-21
Use of MAP Funds for Salaries	Ellinger / FY20 Budget COW	May 28, 2019	September 28, 2021		0977-21
Budgeting Models and LFUCG Budgeting	Bledsoe	May 18, 2021	September 28, 2021	item original identified as zero-based budgeting	0978-21
Review of Financing Options for Coldstream	Kloiber	January 25, 2022		(pending review)	
Percent for Art Fund	F. Brown	September 13, 2022		(pending review)	
Annual/Periodic Updates					
Monthly/Quarterly Financial Update (monthly is for information only)	na	na		quarterly presentations, monthly reports	1228-21
Annual Comprehensive Financial Report	na	na	January 25, 2022	Annually in January	0099-22
Lexington Economic Outlook & Occupational Tax Forecast	Bledsoe	December 3, 2019	January 25, 2022	Annually in January	0100-22
Fund Balance	na	na	October 26, 2021	Annually in October	1087-21
Council Budget Review Process	Bledsoe	December 3, 2019	December 1, 2020	Work Session, Jan. 25, 2021	1085-20
Lexington Jobs Fund Update	Bledsoe	January 29, 2019	January 28, 2020	On current agenda	0134-20
Bluegrass Farm To Table Update	Bledsoe	February 25, 2020	October 26, 2021		1088-21

Referral Item	Referred By	Date Referred	Last Heard	Status	File ID
Economic Development Grant Update	Bledsoe	January 29, 2019	October 26, 2021	On current agenda	1089-21
Industrial Development Authority Update	Bledsoe	February 25, 2020	June 29, 2021		0679-21
Change Orders Report (per Resolution 620-2020)	na	December 3, 2020	November 30, 2021		1230-21
Economic Development Partnerships Update	Bledsoe	March 16, 2021	February 8, 2022		0152-22
Subcommittees					
Division & Program Review Process Subcommittee	Bledsoe	December 3, 2019	November 30, 2021	reconvene in fall of 2022	1231-21
LFUCG Property Assessment Subcommittee	Bledsoe	September 22, 2020	January 26, 2021		0104-21

Updated 9/14/22 KF